

# The Problem with Exercise 34.5

## Or Why Collapses Don't Fit Together

Andreas Lietz

### Abstract

In this short note we address a problem with Exercise 34.5 in [Jec03] and fix the proof of Theorem 34.6. Furthermore we show that for regular uncountable  $\lambda$  and inaccessible  $\kappa > \lambda$ ,  $\text{Col}(\omega, \lambda) \times \text{Col}(\lambda, <\kappa)$  and  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  are not forcing equivalent.<sup>1</sup>

Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be an atomless complete Boolean algebra and  $\kappa$  an inaccessible cardinal. To keep notation consistent with [Jec03], we call the following property (34.6):

- (i)  $\mathbb{B}$  has the  $\kappa$ -cc
- (ii)  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbb{B}_\alpha$  where  $\mathbb{B}_\alpha <_{\text{reg}} \mathbb{B}_\beta$  and  $|\mathbb{B}_\alpha| < \kappa$  for  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$
- (iii) every  $\gamma < \kappa$  is countable in  $V^{\mathbb{B}_\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \kappa$

Note that the property (34.6) doesn't change if one requires all the  $\mathbb{B}_\alpha$  to be complete subalgebras of  $\mathbb{B}$  and as usual it is convenient to assume that this is the case.

Exercise 34.5 states that (34.6) implies that  $\mathbb{B} \cong \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  and this is again used in the proof of Theorem 34.6. We will show that, as stated, this is not quite true. To conclude  $\mathbb{B} \cong \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ , one further continuity assumption on  $\vec{\mathbb{B}}$  is sufficient, and necessary as well:

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $\mathbb{B}$  satisfies (34.6) and that  $\vec{\mathbb{B}}$  witnesses this. Then  $\mathbb{B} \cong \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  if and only if*

$$\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}}) = \{\beta < \kappa \mid \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha <_{\text{reg}} \mathbb{B}\} = \{\beta < \kappa \mid \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha <_{\text{reg}} \mathbb{B}_\beta\}$$

*contains a club.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Thanks to Stefan Hoffelner for valuable discussions on this topic

**Remark 2.** In this note,  $\text{Col}(\omega, \alpha)$  refers to the Boolean completion of the partial order  $\overset{<\omega}{\alpha}$  ordered by reverse inclusion. Similarly,  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha)$  refers to the Boolean completion of the partial order consisting of finite partial functions

$$p : \omega \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

satisfying  $p(n, \gamma) < \gamma$  whenever  $(n, \gamma) \in \text{dom}(p)$ , ordered by reverse inclusion.

*Proof.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ” : Suppose  $\pi : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  is an isomorphism. We can write  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbb{C}_\alpha$  so that each  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Col}(\omega, \alpha)$  and  $\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{C}}) = \kappa$ . Now there must be a club  $C$  of  $\beta < \kappa$  such that  $\pi$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\pi \upharpoonright \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha : \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{C}_\alpha$$

Now we have  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{C}_\alpha <_{\text{reg}} \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ . But as  $\pi$  is an iso, this must mean that  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha <_{\text{reg}} \mathbb{B}$  as well, so that:

$$C \subseteq \Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}})$$

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” : As remarked before, we can assume wlog that all  $\mathbb{B}_\alpha$  are complete subalgebras of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Since  $\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}})$  is a club, we may as well rename  $\vec{\mathbb{B}}$  so that  $\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}}) = \kappa$ . To ease notation, we will define

$$\mathbb{B}_{<\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathbb{B}_\alpha$$

for any  $\beta < \kappa$ . I claim that we may assume that wlog for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_\alpha \cong \text{Col}(\omega, \gamma_\alpha)$  for some  $\gamma_\alpha < \kappa$ . To see this, we show that there are unboundedly many  $\beta < \kappa$  so that the completion of  $\mathbb{B}_{<\beta}$  is  $\text{Col}(\omega, \gamma)$  for some  $\gamma$ . So let  $\alpha_0 < \kappa$  be given. Define  $\alpha_{n+1} > \alpha_n$  so that  $|\mathbb{B}_{\alpha_n}|$  is countable in  $V^{\mathbb{B}_{\alpha_{n+1}}}$ . Let  $\beta = \sup_{n < \omega} \alpha_n$ . Then  $\mathbb{B}_{<\beta}$  has size  $|\beta|$  and collapses  $|\beta|$  and hence its completion is isomorphic to  $\text{Col}(\omega, |\beta|)$ . As  $\mathbb{B}_{<\beta} <_{\text{reg}} \mathbb{B}$ , its completion is a complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

The above also shows that we may as well assume that at all limit  $\beta < \kappa$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_\beta$  is just the completion of  $\mathbb{B}_{<\beta}$ .

Now with this out of the way, we will do a zig-zag argument using the lifting property of the collapse forcing  $\text{Col}(\omega, \gamma)$ . As before we write  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ .

**Claim 3.** *For any complete embedding  $\pi : \mathbb{B}_\beta \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  and  $\delta < \kappa$ , there is a  $\beta < \beta' < \kappa$  and a complete embedding*

$$\pi^+ : \mathbb{B}_{\beta'} \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

*that extends  $\pi$  so that  $\mathbb{C}_\delta \subseteq \text{ran}(\pi^+)$ .*

*Proof.* We can find  $\delta \leq \gamma < \kappa$  so that  $\text{ran}(\pi \upharpoonright \mathbb{B}_\beta) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\gamma$ . Furthermore we can find some  $\beta < \beta' < \kappa$  of size larger than  $|\mathbb{B}_\beta|$ . Now  $\pi^{-1}$  is a complete embedding of a complete subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}_{\gamma_\beta}$  into  $\mathbb{B}_{\beta'}$ . As  $\mathbb{B}_{\beta'}$  is isomorphic to a collapse forcing, by the lifting property of collapses (Lemma 26.9 in [Jec03]), there is a complete embedding

$$\eta : \mathbb{C}_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\beta'}$$

that extends  $\pi^{-1}$ . Essentially the same argument shows that we can find a complete embedding

$$\pi^+ : \mathbb{B}_{\beta'} \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

that extends  $\eta^{-1}$  (and thus  $\pi$ ).  $\square$

Using this, we inductively construct a club sequence  $\langle \beta_\gamma \mid \gamma < \kappa \rangle$  and complete embeddings

$$\pi_\gamma : \mathbb{B}_{\beta_\gamma} \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

such that  $\text{ran}(\pi_\gamma) \supseteq \mathbb{C}_\gamma$ . The successor step is handled by the above claim. At limit steps, let  $\beta_\gamma = \sup_{\alpha < \gamma} \beta_\alpha$ . we already have

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \pi_\alpha : \mathbb{B}_{<\gamma} \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

and this is a complete embedding as the construction gives that for some  $\delta < \kappa$  we have  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \text{ran}(\pi_\alpha) = \mathbb{C}_{<\delta} \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ . As  $\mathbb{B}_\gamma$  is just the completion of  $\mathbb{B}_{<\gamma}$ , (so that consequently  $\mathbb{B}_{<\gamma}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{B}_\gamma$ ) there is a unique extension of  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \pi_\alpha$  to a complete embedding

$$\pi_\gamma : \mathbb{B}_\gamma \rightarrow \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

Thus finally,

$$\pi := \bigcup_{\gamma < \kappa} \pi_\gamma : \mathbb{B} \cong \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$$

is an isomorphism.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 34.6 can be saved though. With the notation from there, Exercise 34.5 is used to infer that

$$V^{P*Q} \cong V^{\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)}$$

which is not quite true. If we let  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}(P * Q)$ , then  $\mathbb{B}$  satisfies (34.6), but there is no hope that  $\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}})$  contains a club. Anyhow,  $\kappa$  is weakly compact and as

$$\text{“}\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathbb{B}_\alpha \text{ is } \kappa\text{-cc”}$$

is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -statement over  $(V_\kappa, \epsilon, \mathbb{B}, \vec{\mathbb{B}})$ , the weak compactness of  $\kappa$  gives that  $\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}})$  is stationary. Thus we can force a club through there in  $V^{P*Q}$ , where  $\kappa$  is  $\omega_1$ ; call that forcing  $R$ . If  $H = H_0 * H_1 * H_2$  is  $P * Q * R$ -generic, then  $V[H]$  has the same reals as  $V[H_0 * H_1]$  and we can check that in  $V[H]$  there is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)^V$  and thus a filter  $G$  that is  $V$ -generic filter for the latter forcing such that  $V[G]$  has all the reals of  $V[H_0 * H_1]$ . Thus after all there is still an elementary embedding

$$j : L(\mathbb{R})^V \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R})^{V[G]}$$

A further example where  $\vec{\mathbb{B}}$  witnesses that  $\mathbb{B}$  satisfies (34.6), yet is not isomorphic to  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  is

$$\mathbb{B} = \text{Col}(\omega, \lambda) \oplus \text{Col}(\lambda, <\kappa)$$

with

$$\mathbb{B}_\alpha = \text{Col}(\omega, \lambda) \oplus \text{Col}(\lambda, <\alpha)$$

Here  $\lambda < \kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then

$$\Delta(\vec{\mathbb{B}}) \cap \text{Lim} = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) \geq \lambda\}$$

does not contain a club. Hence there is no dense embedding from  $\mathbb{B}$  into  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ . One can check that if  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$  were forcing equivalent, there would be such a dense embedding and hence they are not. This results in a headache in some lifting arguments.

## References

- [Jec03] Thomas Jech. *Set theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded.